

#### EXPEDITED SPCC SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION 7, 901 N. 5th St., KANSAS CITY, KANSAS 66101

02 OCT -9 PM 2: 37

Facility Name: Hauser Oil Lease

Location: Allen County, Kansas

Owner/Operator: Patrick Development Corporation

(Respondent)

On September 11, 2001, an authorized representative of the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) conducted an inspection to determine compliance with the Oil Pollution Prevention (SPCC) regulations promulgated at 40 CFR Part 112 under Section 311(j) of the Clean Water Act (33 U.S.C. § 1321(j)) (the Act), and found that Respondent had violated regulations implementing Section 311(j) of the Act by failing to comply with the regulations as noted on the attached Spill Prevention Control and Countermeasure Inspection Findings, Alleged Violations, and Proposed Penalty Form (Form), which is hereby incorporated by reference.

If Respondent does not sign and return this Expedited Settlement as presented within 30 days of the date of its receipt, the proposed Expedited Settlement is withdrawn without prejudice to EPA's ability to file any other enforcement action for the violations identified in the Form.

This proceeding and the Expedited Settlement are under the authority vested in the Administrator of EPA by Section 311(b) (6) (B) (i) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1321(b) (6) (B) (i), as amended by the Oil Pollution Act of 1990, and 40 CFR §§ 22.13(b) and 22.18(b), published at 64 Fed. Reg. 40137 on July 23, 1999. The parties enter into this Expedited Settlement in order to settle the civil violations described in the Form for a penalty of \$400.00. This settlement is subject to the following terms and conditions:

EPA finds that Respondent is subject to the SPCC regulations, which are published at 40 CFR Part 112, and has violated the regulations as further described in the Form. Respondent admits that he/she is subject to 40 CFR Part 112 and that EPA has jurisdiction over Respondent and Respondent's conduct as described in the Form. Respondent does not contest the Inspection Findings, and waives any objections it may have to EPA's jurisdiction. Respondent consents to the assessment of the penalty stated above. Respondent certifies, subject to civil and criminal penalties for making a false submission to the United States Government, that the violations have been corrected and Respondent has sent a certified check in the amount of \$400.00 payable to the "Oil Spill Liability Trust Fund," to: "Regional Hearing Clerk, Office of Regional Counsel, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 901 N. 5th Street, Kansas City, Kansas 66101". Respondent has noted on the penalty payment check "EPA" and the docket number of this case.

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
AGENCY-REGION VII
REGIONAL HEARING CLERK

DOCKET NO: CWA-7-2002-0041

This Expedited Settlement resolves Respondent's liability for Federal civil penalties for the violations of the SPCC regulations described in the Form. However, EPA does not waive any rights to take any enforcement action for any other past, present, or future violations by Respondent of the SPCC regulations or of any other federal statute or regulations. By its first signature, EPA ratifies the Inspection Findings and Alleged Violations set forth in the Form.

Upon signing and returning this Expedited Settlement to EPA, Respondent waives the opportunity for a hearing or appeal pursuant to Section 311 of the Act, and consents to EPA's approval of the Expedited Settlement without further notice.

This Expedited Settlement is binding on the parties signing below, and is effective upon the Regional Judicial Officer's signature.

APPROVED BY EPA:

Robert W. Jackson

Chief, Emergency Response and Removal Branch

Superfund Division

APPROVED BY RESPONDED

Name (print):
Title (print):

Signature:

IT IS SO ORDERED:

Robert L. Patrick

Regional Judicial Officer

INSTRUCTIONS ON REVERSE

# Spill Prevention Control and Countermeasure Inspection Findings, Alleged Violations, and Proposed Penalty Form

(Note: Do not use this form if there is no secondary containment)
These Findings, Alleged Violations and Penalties are issued by EPA Region 7 under the authority vested in the Administrator of EPA by Section 311(b)(6)(B)(i) of the Clean Water Act, as amended by the Oil Pollution Act of 1990

Company Name			Docket Number: CWA											
Patrick Development Corporation				7 -	2	0	0	2	- (	0 0	4	1	* 4	O STATES
Leas	Lease Name			Inspection Date										
Hau	Hauser			09/11/01										
Com	Company Address			Inspection Number										
3408	3408 W. 93 <sup>rd</sup> Street			F Y - I N S P - 0 1 - 0 8 2										
City	City:			Inspector's Name:										
Leav	Leawood			Scott Hayes										
State	:	Zip Code:		EPA A	ppro	ving	Off	ficia	1:			<u>.</u>		
KS		66206		Robert	<b>w.</b>	Jack	son							
Cont	act			Enforcement Contacts:										
Mr.	Mr. Kerry Patrick			-Jeff Weatherford Phone Number: (913)551-7695 Scott Hayes Phone Number: (913)551-7670										
•		Sumn	ar	y of	Fir	dir	ıgs	<del>'</del>						
<del>- 1</del>	•	GENERAL TOPICS: 112.3 en the SPCC Plan review penalty exceeds \$1,	(d), 000	(e); 11 .00 en	2,5( ter o	a), (l 1ly tl	b), (e	c); 1 iaxii	112.7 ( mum al				· ·-	<del></del>
<b>5</b>		oill Prevention Control and Counterme												
<u></u>	Plan not certified by a professional engineer													300.00 100.00
Ī	Plan not maintained on site (applies if facility is manned at least eight (8) hours per day)  Plan not available for review							100.00						
	No evidence of three year review of plan by owner/operator							50.00						
	No plan amendment(s) if the facility has had a change in: design, construction, operation, or maintenance which affects the facility's discharge potential													
	Amendment(s) not certified by a professional engineer							• • • • •	100.00					
_	Inadequate or no prediction of equipment failure which could result in discharges							100.00						
	Plan o	loes not discuss appropriate containme	ent/	diver	sion	ary	stn	ıctı	ires/e	quip	ment			100.00
									100.00					
	$\square_N$	lo written commitment of manpower,	equ	ipme	nt, a	nd:	mai	teria	als					100.00

### Written Procedures and Inspection Records 112.7(e)(8)

	Inspections required by 40 CFR Part 112 are not in accordance with written procedures developed for the facility	50.00				
Ц	Written procedures and a record of inspections are not signed by facility supervisor	50.00				
Ц	Written procedures and a record of inspections are not made part of the plan	50.00				
U	Written procedures and a record of inspections are not maintained for three years	50.00				
	Personnel Training and Spill Prevention Procedures 112.7(e)(10)	· <del></del>				
	No training on the operation and maintenance of equipment to prevent discharges	50.00				
H	No training on the applicable laws, rules, and regulations					
H	No designated person responsible for spill prevention	50.00				
H	Spill prevention briefings are not scheduled and conducted periodically					
	Plan has inadequate or no discussion of personnel training and spill prevention procedures	50.00				
	Oil Production Facilities, Onshore 112.7(e)(5)					
	Accumulated oil in the secondary containment system(s) is not picked up and returned to storage or properly disposed of in accordance with the regulations	200.00				
	Field drainage ditches, road ditches, and oil traps, sumps, or skimmers are not regularly inspected for oil, and/or oil is not removed	200.00				
	Drainage from diked areas:					
U	Drains for the secondary containment systems at the tank battery(ies) and central treatment stations are not closed and sealed at all times except when rainwater is being drained	200.00				
	Prior to drainage, rainwater runoff is not inspected to ensure compliance with water	300.00				
_	quality standards and could cause a harmful discharge					
	Bypass valve is not opened and resealed under responsible supervision	100.00				
u	Adequate records of drainage events are not maintained	50.00				
_	For Aboveground Storage Tanks:					
	Tank material and construction are not compatible with the oil stored and the conditions of storage	300.00				
ul <sub>-</sub>	Secondary containment appears to be grossly inadequate for tanks and central treating installations	500.00				
<u> </u>	Materials of construction are not sufficiently impervious	250.00				
<u> </u>	Excessive vegetation which affects the integrity of the containment system	100.00				
Ĺ	Walls of containment system are slightly eroded or have low areas	200.00				

	Drainage from non-diked areas does not flow to a catchment basin or holding pond						
	Visual tank inspections are not conducted periodically by appropriate personnel and/or inspections do not include tank foundation and supports						
	Tank battery installations are not "fail-safe" engineered because <u>none</u> of the following are present						
	<ol> <li>Adequate tank capacity to prevent tank overfill;</li> <li>Overflow equalizing lines between the tanks;</li> <li>Vacuum protection to prevent tank collapse;</li> <li>High level alarms to generate and transmit an alarm signal where facilities are part of a computer control system.</li> </ol>	300.00					
	Facility Transfer Operations						
	Above ground valves and pipelines are not examined periodically on a scheduled basis for general condition (includes items, such as: flange joints, valve glands and bodies, drip pans, pipeline supports, bleeder and gauge valves, polish rods/stuffing box.)						
	Brine disposal facilities are not examined often						
	Inadequate or no flowline maintenance program (includes: examination, corrosion protection and, flowline replacement)						
	Plan has inadequate or no discussion of oil production facilities						
		<del></del>					
	TOTAL \$_	400.00					

#### **Additional Information:**

This penalty is based on the facility being in a non-operational status and all tanks being empty and incapable of receiving oil.

## IN THE MATTER OF Hauser Oil Lease, Respondent Docket No. CWA-07-2002-0041

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that the foregoing Expedited SPCC Settlement Agreement was sent this day in the following manner to the addressees:

Copy by Certified Mail Return Receipt to:

Kerry Patrick
Patrick Development Corp.
3408 W. 93<sup>rd</sup> Street
Leawood, Kansas 66206

and

Copy by First Class Mail to:

US. Coast Guard Finance Center (OGR) 1430A Kristina Way Chesapeake, Virginia 23326

Dated:

Kathy Robinson

Regional Hearing Clerk